

LAW OFFICES OF
ROBERT L. KRASELNIK, PLLC
Robert L. Kraselnik (RK 0684)
40-08 Case Street, 2nd Floor
Elmhurst, NY 11373
Tel.: 646-342-2019
Fax: 646-661-1317
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SEGUNDO "MILTON" NAULA, on behalf of
himself and others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHIOS RENOVATION INC.
d/b/a CHIOS RESTORATION
and GEORGE KOSTALAS,

Defendants.

Case No.:

COMPLAINT

Jury Trial Demanded

Plaintiff, SEGUNDO "MILTON" NAULA (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and others similarly situated, by and through his undersigned attorneys, hereby files this Complaint against Defendants, CHIOS RENOVATION INC. d/b/a CHIOS RESTORATION, and GEORGE KOSTALAS (each individually, "Defendant" or, collectively, "Defendants"), and states as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff alleges, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§201 *et. seq.* ("FLSA"), that he is entitled to recover from Defendants: (1) unpaid overtime, (2) liquidated damages, and (3) attorneys' fees and costs.

2. Plaintiff further alleges that, pursuant to the New York Labor Law, he is entitled to recover from Defendants: (1) unpaid overtime, (2) unpaid spread of hours premium, (3) liquidated damages and statutory penalties and (4) attorneys' fees and costs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This Court has jurisdiction over this controversy pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b), 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1337 and 1343, and has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.

4. Venue is proper in the Eastern District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391.

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff, SEGUNDO "MILTON" NAULA, is a resident of Queens County.

6. Upon information and belief, Defendant, CHIOS RENOVATION INC. d/b/a CHIOS RESTORATION is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York, with a principal place of business and an address for service of process located at 2311 100th Street, East Elmhurst, NY 11369.

7. Upon information and belief, Defendant, GEORGE KOSTALAS, is the Chairman or Chief Executive Officer of Defendant, CHIOS RENOVATION INC. d/b/a CHIOS RESTORATION. GEORGE KOSTALAS exercised control over the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment and those of similarly situated employees. With respect to Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees, he had the power to (i) fire and

hire, (ii) determine rate and method of pay and (iii) otherwise affect the quality of employment.

8. At all relevant times, Defendants CHIOS RENOVATION INC. d/b/a CHIOS RESTORATION was and continues to be an “enterprise engaged in commerce” within the meaning of the FLSA.

9. At all relevant times, the work performed by Plaintiff was directly essential to the business operated by Defendants.

10. At all relevant times, Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff his lawfully earned overtime wages in direct contravention of the FLSA and the New York Labor Law.

11. At all relevant times, Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff his lawfully earned spread of hours premium in direct contravention of the New York Labor Law.

12. Plaintiff has fulfilled all conditions precedent to the institution of this action and/or such conditions have been waived.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

13. In or about January of 2016, Plaintiff, SEGUNDO “MILTON” NAULA, was hired by Defendants to work as a painter and carpenter for Defendants’ construction company located at 2311 100th Street, East Elmhurst, NY 11369.

14. Plaintiff worked for Defendants until on or about July 15, 2016.

15. During the employment of Plaintiff, SEGUNDO “MILTON” NAULA, by Defendants, he worked over forty (40) hours per week. During SEGUNDO “MILTON” NAULA’S employment by Defendants, he often worked over ten (10) hours per day.

16. Plaintiff, SEGUNDO "MILTON" NAULA, worked approximately 78 hours per week. Specifically, for example, in the week period from January 15, 2016 through January 21, 2016, he worked as follows:

1/15/2016	8:00am-9:00pm
1/16/2016	8:00am-9:00pm
1/17/2016	off
1/18/2016	8:00am-9:00pm
1/19/2016	8:00am-9:00pm
1/20/2016	8:00am-9:00pm
1/21/2016	8:00am-9:00pm

Plaintiff remained with this schedule for the duration of his employment with Defendants. Plaintiff received a fixed weekly salary of \$1,000. Throughout Plaintiff's tenure with Defendants, Plaintiff was paid in cash. Plaintiff was not paid the overtime rate of time and one-half for any hours worked past 40 in a workweek. Additionally, Plaintiff did not receive any breaks during any workweek.

17. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying either the FLSA overtime rate (of time and one-half) or the New York State overtime rate (of time and one-half) to the Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees.

18. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying the New York State "spread of hours" premium to Plaintiff.

19. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not providing a proper wage statement to Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees, in violation of the New York Labor Law.

20. Plaintiff retained the Law Offices of Robert L. Kraselnik, PLLC to represent him and other employees similarly situated in this litigation and has agreed to pay the firm a reasonable fee for its services.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

COUNT I

VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

21. Plaintiff realleges and reavers Paragraphs 1 through 20 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

22. At all relevant times, upon information and belief, Defendants were and continue to be employers engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207 (a). Further, Plaintiff is a covered individual within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207 (a).

23. At all relevant times, Defendants employed Plaintiff within the meaning of the FLSA.

24. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant, CHIOS RENOVATION INC. d/b/a CHIOS RESTORATION had gross annual revenues in excess of \$500,000.

25. At all relevant times, the Defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation at the statutory rate of time and one-half to Plaintiff for his hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek.

26. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation in the lawful amount for hours worked in excess of the maximum hours provided for in the FLSA.

27. Records, if any, concerning the number of hours worked by Plaintiff and the actual compensation paid to Plaintiff should be in the possession and custody of the Defendants. Plaintiff intends to obtain these records by appropriate discovery proceedings to be taken promptly in this case and, if necessary, will then seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to set forth the precise amount due.

28. Defendants knew of and/or showed a willful disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by their failure to compensate Plaintiff at the statutory rate of time and one-half for his hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week when Defendants knew or should have known such was due.

29. Defendants failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff of his rights under the FLSA.

30. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful disregard of the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA.

31. Due to the intentional, willful and unlawful acts of Defendants, Plaintiff suffered damages in an amount not presently of ascertainable of unpaid overtime wages, plus an equal amount as liquidated damages.

32. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

COUNT II

VIOLATION OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

33. Plaintiff realleges and reavers Paragraphs 1 through 32 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

34. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed by the Defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§2 and 651.

35. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation at rates not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek.

36. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay "spread of hours" premium to Plaintiff for each workday exceeding ten (10) or more hours.

37. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not providing a proper wage statement to Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees, in violation of the New York Labor Law.

38. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to provide him proper notices and wage statements in violation of the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act, N.Y. Lab. Law § 198(1-a) (enacted on April 9, 2011).

39. As a result of Defendants' violation of the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act, Plaintiff is entitled to damages of at least \$150 per week during which the violations occurred and/or continue to occur.

40. Due to the Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant his unpaid overtime, unpaid "spread of hours" premium, statutory

penalties, damages for unreasonably delayed payments, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to New York Labor Law §663(1).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff on behalf of himself and all similarly situated employees, respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- a. A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- b. An injunction against Defendants and its officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives and any and all persons acting in concert with them as provided by law, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies and patterns set forth herein;
- c. An award of unpaid overtime compensation due under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- d. An award of unpaid "spread of hours" premium due under the New York Labor Law;
- e. An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' willful failure to pay overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216;
- f. An award of statutory penalties including statutory penalties under the Wage Theft Prevention Act, and prejudgment and postjudgment interest;
- g. An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' willful failure to pay overtime compensation and "spread of hours" premium pursuant to the New York Labor Law;

- h. An award of statutory penalties, and prejudgment and postjudgment interest;
- i. An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees; and
- j. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable as of right by jury.

Dated: February 23, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF
ROBERT L. KRASELNIK, PLLC
Robert L. Kraselnik (RK 0684)
40-08 Case Street, 2nd Floor
Elmhurst, NY 11373
Tel.: 646-342-2019
Fax: 646-661-1317
Attorney for Plaintiff

By: _____

ROBERT KRASELNIK (RK 0684)